



judicial officers in the performance of their duties, *citing Moya*).

The Court has conducted a careful *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge's proposed findings and recommendations to which the Plaintiff objected. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1) (District Judge shall "make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.") Upon such *de novo* review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct and that the Plaintiff's objections are without merit. It is accordingly


**ORDERED** that the Plaintiff's objections are overruled and the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 14) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as to the seeking of mandamus relief in federal court, but without prejudice as to any other lawful remedies that may be available to the Relator, including any habeas corpus remedies. It is further

**ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED** insofar as such a certificate may be required. Finally, it is

**ORDERED** that any and all motions that may be pending in this civil action are hereby **DENIED**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **3rd** day of **June, 2020**.

  
JEREMY D. KERNODLE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE